



Statistics Education Research Journal

Volume 20 Number 1, June, 2021

Editor

Jennifer J. Kaplan

Editor of Special Editions

Daniel Frischemeier

Assistant Editor

Noleine Fitzallen

Associate Editors

Carmen Batanero

Bruce Carlson

Stephanie Casey

Egan Chernoff

Sue Finch

Ana Luisa Gomez-Blancarte

Leigh Harrell-Williams

Stefania Mignani

Aisling Leavy

Jennifer Noll

Rini Oktavia

Maria G. Ottaviani

Susan Peters

Susanne Schnell

Alejandra Sorto

Douglas Whitaker

International Association for Statistical Education
<http://iase-web.org>

International Statistical Institute
<http://isi-web.org/>

STATISTICS EDUCATION RESEARCH JOURNAL

The *Statistics Education Research Journal (SERJ)* is a peer-reviewed electronic journal of the International Association for Statistical Education (IASE) and the International Statistical Institute (ISI). *SERJ* is published twice a year and is open access.

SERJ aims to advance research-based knowledge that can help to improve the teaching, learning, and understanding of statistics or probability at all educational levels and in both formal (classroom-based) and informal (out-of-classroom) contexts. Such research may examine, for example, cognitive, motivational, attitudinal, curricular, teaching-related, technology-related, organizational, or societal factors and processes that are related to the development and understanding of stochastic knowledge. In addition, research may focus on how people use or apply statistical and probabilistic information and ideas, broadly viewed.

The *Journal* encourages the submission of quality papers related to the above goals, such as reports of original research (both quantitative and qualitative), integrative and critical reviews of research literature, analyses of research-based theoretical and methodological models, and other types of papers described in full in the Guidelines for Authors. All papers are reviewed internally by an Associate Editor or Editor and are blind-reviewed by at least two external referees. Contributions in English are recommended. Contributions in French and Spanish will also be considered. A submitted paper must not have been published before or be under consideration for publication elsewhere.

Further information and guidelines for authors are available at:
<http://iase-web.org/Publications.php?p=SERJ>

Submissions

Manuscripts must be submitted via the online submission process at <https://iase-web.org/serj/index.php/SERJ/index> under the “About” tab. Please contact Jennifer Kaplan <Jennifer.Kaplan@mtsu.edu> if you have any questions about submitting a manuscript. Submitted manuscripts should be produced using the Template file and in accordance with details in the Guidelines for Authors. It can be downloaded from the IASE SERJ: Archive web page:
<http://iase-web.org/Publications.php?p=SERJ>

© International Association for Statistical Education (IASE/ISI), June, 2021

Publication: IASE/ISI, The Hague, The Netherlands

Technical Production: California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California, United States of America

ISSN: 1570-1824

International Association for Statistical Education (IASE) – an association of the International Statistical Institute (ISI)

President: Joachim Engel (Germany)

President-Elect: Ayse Bilgin (Australia)

Past-President: Gail Burrill (USA)

Vice-Presidents: Stephanie Budgett (New Zealand), Pedro Campos (Portugal), Teresita Terán (Argentina), James Kaleli Musyoka (Kenya), Rob Gould (USA)

SERJ EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor

Jennifer J. Kaplan, Department of Mathematical Statistics, Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37132, USA. Email: Jennifer.Kaplan@mtsu.edu

Editor of Special Editions

Daniel Frischmeier, Institute of Mathematics, University of Paderborn, 33098 Paderborn, Germany
Email: dafr@math.upb.de

Assistant Editor

Noleine Fitzallen, School of Education, Arts, Social Science and Commerce College. La Trobe University, Australia. Email: n.fitzallen@latrobe.edu.au

Associate Editors

Carmen Batanero, Departamento de Didáctica de la Matemática, Grupo de Investigación sobre Educación Estadística, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain. Email: batanero@ugr.es

Bruce Carlson, Department of Psychology, Ohio University, Athens, OH, USA. Email: carlsonb@ohio.edu

Stephanie Casey, Mathematics and Statistics, College of Arts and Sciences, Eastern Michigan University, Ypsilanti, MI, USA. Email: scasey1@emich.edu

Egan Chernoff, College of Education, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon SK S7N0X1, Canada. Email: egan.chernoff@usask.ca

Sue Finch, Statistical Consulting Centre, The University of Melbourne, Australia. Email: sfinch@unimelb.edu.au

Ana Luisa Gomez-Blancarte, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Centro de Investigación en Ciencia Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada, Programa de Matemática Educativa, Mexico. Email: algomezbl@ipn.mx

Leigh Harrell-Williams, Department of Counseling, Educational Psychology & Research, University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, USA. Email: lmwillm14@memphis.edu

Aisling Leavy, Department of STEM Education, Mary Immaculate College, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland. Email: aisling.leavy@mic.ul.ie

Stefania Mignani, Dipartimento di Scienze Statistiche, Università di Bologna, Italy. Email: stefania.mignani@unibo.it

Jennifer Noll, Fariborz Maseeh Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon, USA. Email: noll@pdx.edu

Rini Oktavia, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh, Indonesia. Email: rini_oktavia@unsyiah.ac.id

Maria Gabriella Ottaviani, Retired, Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", Rome, Italy. Email: ottavianimariagabriella@gmail.com

Susan Peters, Department of Middle and Secondary Education, College of Education and Human Development, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA. Email: s.peters@louisville.edu

Susanne Schnell, University of Paderborn, Institute of Mathematics, Paderborn. Email: susanne.schnell@math.upb.de

M. Alejandra Sorto, Department of Mathematics, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX 78666, USA. Email: sorto@txstate.edu

Douglas Whitaker, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Mount Saint Vincent University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada. Email: douglas.whitaker@msvu.ca

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editorial	Article 1
Lu Liu <i>Assessing Statistics Anxiety in an Online Or Hybrid Setting: The Adaption and Development of a new Instrument SASOH</i>	Article 2
Laura A. Rabin, Anjali Krishnan, Rose Bergdoll, and Joshua Fogel <i>Correlates of Exam Performance in an Introductory Statistics Course: Basic Math Skills Along with Self-reported psychological/behavioral and demographic Variables</i>	Article 3
Talia Randa Esnard, Fareena Maryam Alladin, and Keisha Chandra Samlal <i>Prior Mathematics Performance, Statistics Anxiety, Self-efficacy and Expectations for Performance in Statistics: A Survey of Social Sciences Students in a Caribbean Institution of Higher Education</i>	Article 4
Felipe Ruz, Beth Chance, Elsa Medina, and José M. Contreras <i>Content Knowledge and Attitudes Towards Stochastics and its Teaching in Pre-service Chilean Mathematics Teachers</i>	Article 5
Kai-Lin Yang and Khairiani Idris <i>Conceptualizing a Framework for Analysing College Statistics Textbooks in Terms of Text Accessibility</i>	Article 6

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the first issue of *SERJ* for 2021. There are a number of exciting changes happening for our Journal. As of January 2021, we started using the Open Journal System (OJS) for reviewing manuscripts and publishing issues. This is our first issue published through OJS and many thanks go to our Assistant Editor, Noleine Fitzallen, not only for preparing the papers for the issue, but also for getting us set up in the OJS. All future issues of *SERJ* will be published through OJS and over time we intend to move past issues into the system. This brings me to another exciting change for *SERJ*: going forward, we will be assigning all papers DOIs. We will start by assigning DOIs to the new papers, but we also have plans to work backwards through the previously published issues. Many thanks go to Gail Burrill, IASE Past President, and Daniel Frischemeier, *SERJ* Editor for Special Issues, for their work investigating and creating a DOI system for *SERJ*.

This issue contains five papers, the first four of which use quantitative methodologies. In this issue you will be introduced to a new scale to measure statistics anxiety, specifically for students in online or hybrid statistics courses. The second and third papers explore relationships between previous mathematics performance, affective variables, and perceived or actual cognitive outcomes for undergraduate students in statistics. In the fourth paper, the authors explore content knowledge and attitudes toward statistics of pre-service secondary mathematics teachers. The third and fourth papers remind us of the international mission of *SERJ*, with one situated in the Caribbean context and the other in the Chilean context. The last paper provides a theoretical framework for analyzing the text accessibility of statistics textbooks. I hope you enjoy these papers, all of which have great potential for moving the field of statistics education research forward.

In the first paper, Lu Liu presents a new instrument designed to measure statistics anxiety for students in online or hybrid mode statistics courses: the Statistics Anxiety Scale in the Online or Hybrid setting instrument (SASOH). While existing measures of statistics anxiety have demonstrated validity and reliability evidence when used with students in traditional and face-to-face settings, the same evidence does not exist for these measures when used with students in online or hybrid settings. Given the number of online and hybrid statistics courses available to students, particularly in the current pandemic, coupled with results indicating that online statistics students have higher levels of anxiety, this instrument is coming to our research community at a very opportune time. Like the existing measures of statistics anxiety, the SASOH uses a multidimensional model. The resulting instrument has 27 items across four dimensions: anxiety about Class and Interpretation, Fear of Asking for help, Online System, and Pre-Conception. While the initial reliability and validity evidence for the SASOH presented is promising, replication studies providing further evidence of the validity and reliability of the instrument are needed. If the SASOH instrument reveals good results in the replication studies, future studies could focus on separating the statistics anxiety scale into meaningful low, medium, and high ranges and these ranges could be used for diagnostic, classification, progress, and modification-of-instruction purposes.

Laura Rabin, Anjali Krishnan, Rose Bergdoll, and Joshua Fogel investigated whether basic mathematics skills are associated with course performance in statistics by undergraduate psychology students. The results of a discriminant correspondence analysis revealed differences in course performance evaluated as the average of three exam grades. In particular, the level of basic mathematics provided the largest contribution to the variability on course performance of all variables included in the analysis. Other variables associated with better exam grades included white ethnicity, non-transfer status, lower year in school, and low procrastination. While the authors recognize the limitations of their study, such as the use of a single institution and exam scores as the response variable, their results suggest support structures to broaden access to and success in introductory statistics courses for students who are diverse in terms of demographics and/or mathematics preparation. Some examples given are placement of students in an accelerated statistics pathway, offering peer tutoring may be a relatively non-threatening form of academic help seeking, and/or syllabus organization that discourages procrastination. These recommendations add to a growing body of literature in mathematics education around student transitions to tertiary level mathematics learning.

Talia Esnard, Fareena Alladin, and Keisha Samlal examined the relationship of previous mathematics performance, statistical self-efficacy, and statistics anxiety on Caribbean students'

expectations for performance in an undergraduate behavioral statistics course. Key findings using structural equation modeling are that previous mathematics performance had little direct effect on students' expectations for performance in statistics, but moderate indirect effect on their levels of statistical anxiety and self-efficacy. While statistical self-efficacy was positively associated with statistical anxiety, this measure produced a negative effect on their expectations for performance in statistics. Both statistical self-efficacy and anxiety negatively affected students' expectations for performance in statistics, but with minimally higher levels for the latter. There was no evidence of a difference in students' expectations for performance in statistics based on sex or academic discipline. Recognizing the limited scope of their investigation, the authors call for expanding the research to other Caribbean universities so comparisons can be made to strengthen curriculum and pedagogy and efforts to reform the teaching of statistics in the region. The authors also recognize the need for further investigation of the scales and measures used to quantify statistics anxiety and self-efficacy. Future research with robust measures would allow the statistics education research community to explore the relative impacts of changes to curriculum and pedagogy on affective outcomes for statistics students writ large, and not only in the Caribbean setting.

Felipe Ruz, Beth Chance, Elsa Medina, and Jose Contreras analyzed the levels of and associations among pre-service Chilean mathematics teachers' content knowledge and attitudes towards the teaching and learning of stochastics. As student learning outcomes in probability and statistics (stochastics) become more prominent in K-12 (primary and secondary) school settings, teachers face increasing demands to teach stochastics for which they may not be sufficiently prepared, from either a cognitive or an affective standpoint. The authors found the content knowledge of the pre-service teachers to be generally poor and insufficient for the content the Chilean teachers are expected to teach. This was true even though more than 80% of the participants had already passed all their required stochastics courses. Overall results about attitudes showed that participants tended to have positive attitudes (higher than the indifference score). One particularly interesting finding was, while the pre-service teachers agreed the content is important, they also felt less comfortable in their content knowledge and skills to teach it. Although higher levels of stochastics knowledge were associated with more positive attitudes, most of the associations between the cognitive and affective outcomes for the pre-service teachers were low. The authors posit that the weak association between attitudes and conceptual knowledge presents an opportunity for improving content knowledge: with generally positive attitudes these teachers should be motivated and open to further their understanding. The authors make three important recommendations for pre-service mathematics teacher educators: reorganize the stochastics training of teachers, promote content knowledge and knowledge for teaching simultaneously, and utilize modern approaches to develop stochastic reasoning. Given the uptick in stochastics learning outcomes at the school level, these recommendations are timely and have the potential for broad impact in teacher training and student learning.

In the final article, Kai-lin Yang and Khairiani Idris present an analytic framework for evaluating the text accessibility of undergraduate (tertiary) level statistics textbooks. The framework is based on the five attributes of accessibility of science texts: text concreteness, voice of authors, coherent writing structure, selective use of visual information, and integrated verbal and visual information. These five components were reimagined for statistics texts drawing on the literature around critical components of reading mathematics texts and features of statistics texts. After describing the framework, the authors provide an example of its use in analyzing a section of text from a popular statistics textbook. There are many potential uses for such a framework in statistics education. It can be used by authors and publishers to ensure maximum accessibility and readability of textual statistics materials to promote student learning. It could also be used by instructors when selecting materials to use with students. I look forward to future studies refining the framework and applying it to investigate differences in learning outcomes for students related to differences in text accessibility of the teaching materials used.

JENNIFER J. KAPLAN