Eduardo Bologna - Marcelo Vaiman - Matías Adrián Alfonso National University of Córdoba (Argentine)

August 14, 2019

 $-_{\text{The question}}$

The question

L The question

How many of social sciences students passing introductory statistics courses develop the expected skills to make a meaningful use of statistics?

<u>The context</u>

The context

└─The context

Our location

- National University of Córdoba (Argentine)
- Psychology degree
- Psychostatistics: annual introductory course with 1500 students from diverse social and educational background

└─The context

Psychostatistics relates to nodal subjects in Psychology degree

Related subjects

- Psychometric Techniques
- Research Methodology
- Educational Psychology
- Health psychology
- Neuro & psycho physiology
- Social Psychology

└─The context

Its objectives are in correspondence with the four pillars of learning for the 21st century (UNESCO)

Learning to...

- know (conceptual) Concepts & techniques for organization, summary and treatment of data
- do (procedural) Apply statistical tools to Psychology research problems
- be (attitudinal) Develop critical judgment, creativity & statistical thinking live together
- know and work with others (social) team working is encouraged to resolve activities

 $-_{\text{The problem}}$

The problem

L The problem

The problem

- Every year, approximately 80% pass this course.
- But the exams can be approved with little or no accomplishments of these objectives.

L The problem

Many students:

- The next year they are unable to handle the tools required to perform psychometric analysis.
- Two years later they cannot compare two experimental groups.

L The problem

Why?

- Literature suggests that Psychology is often chosen by students with less interest and more negative self-assessments in mathematics, physics, etc.
- Frustrating experiences that students may have had in mathematics make them feel unable to catch statistics ideas.

L The problem

Why?

• For many students there is a barrier prior to the attempt to understand, founded in the scarce self-confidence to learn contents that evoke mathematics: lack of self-efficacy for quantitative reasoning.

L The problem

Why?

- The idea of an innate inability for mathematics radiates towards statistics.
- It limits the time and effort the student devotes to trying to understand.

└─Students' strategies

Students' strategies

└─Students' strategies

How do they manage to pass?

- Given the need to pass the exams, the students look for shortcuts to overcome the obstacles in the shortest time and with the least effort.
- Many of them try to learn what is necessary to pass, and the easiest way to do so is by memorization based on repetition.

└─Students' strategies

As a result

• A high proportion of students pass the course but...

- No meaningful
- Nor lasting

learning is achieved.

└─Our strategies

Our strategies

└Our strategies

Firsts attempts

- Friendly bibliography.
- Proximity to data from begining.
- Low difficulty in the first examination.

└Our strategies

In run: Creation of a well-targeted exam that

- Is aimed to the course objectives.
- Turns very inconvenient rote studying.
- Allows the identification of problematic content.

└Our strategies

By means of:

- Fragmenting the contents of the syllabus into elementary units.
- Identifying, in each micro-content, each of Bloom's cognitive levels (knowledge, understanding, application, analysis, synthesis and evaluation).

└Our strategies

By means of:

- Precisely designing a question for each combination of micro-content and cognitive level.
- Diversifying the questions through random values generation.

 ${}_{\rm Example}$

Example

- Example

Item XXXX

"With a confidence of $(1-\alpha)$, we estimate the mean reaction time to a stimulus in $[L_L; U_L]$. If the confidence changes to $(1-\alpha_1)$, keeping everything else fixed, which of the following intervals could correspond to the estimation of the same parameter".

Example

This item points at:

- Evaluated micro-content: *effect of confidence in estimation error*
- Cognitive level: *analysis*

Diversification

Diversification

Diversification

Multiply the number of items

- The structure is reproduced with random values of α , α_1 , L_L and U_L
- Using the R (R Team Core, 2018) package exams (Zeileis, Umlauf, & Leisch, 2014), in markdown (Allaire, Horner, Marti & Porte, 2017) environment.
- Questions are then exported to Moodle.
- For each student, Moodle picks randomly a set of n questions from the categories previously established, with shuffled answer options.

 $__{\rm Diversification}$

See:

 $https://github.com/mentoldo/exam_stat/tree/development for implementation$

Difficulty level

Difficulty level

Difficulty level

Moodle provides Facility Index, i.e. the proportion of times the question was correctly answered; it serves to:

- Monitor and adjust questions to improve its quality.
- Determine which contents bring more difficulties to students.

 ${}_{\rm Example}$

Example

Example

Data generation

data: b0=round(runif(1,min=.2, max=.9),2) b1=round(runif(1, min=1.9, max=2.9),2)

Example



The reaction time to a visual stimulus (in seconds) is modeled as a function of alcohol blood concentration (in grams). The resulting model is: $y = 0.47+1.9^*x$.

According to this model, when alcohol concentration increases one gram, we estimate that the mean reaction time:

└─_{Example}

Answerlist

- Increases in 1.9 seconds
- Decreases en 1.9 seconds
- Increases en 0.47 seconds
- Decreases en 0.47 seconds
- Keeps unchanged
- It depends on correlation coefficient 's value

 L_{Example}

Solution

Answerlist

- TRUE
- FALSE
- FALSE
- FALSE
- FALSE
- FALSE

In brief

Here we are trying to combine:

- A detailed thematic classification of the contents of the subject, which identifies elementary units.
- Their distribution according to the cognitive level to which they refer, following Blooms taxonomy.

With

• The production of a large number of evaluation items that inquire about the same content, with variations in the numerical values and in the response alternatives.

And

• The empirical analysis of the difficulty that each question generates in the students. This helps us to keep track of the themes that appear harder to learn for them and gives us hints to continuously improve the classes as well as the exams.

Our expectations

to produce:

- Targeted
- Personalized
- Unpredictable

exams

Our expectations

and this way:

- Dicourage rote learning
- Encourage understanding
- Promote meaningful learning

Thank you very much for your interest.

Questions and suggestions are welcome.